

| | | | |
|---|---|-----------------------------------|--|
| Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary | Application No. 10/044,708 | Applicant(s) QIU ET AL. | |
| | Examiner Maureen M. Wallenhorst | Art Unit 1743 | |

All Participants:

(1) Maureen M. Wallenhorst.

(2) Steven Kelber (Appl. Rep.).

Status of Application: ____

(3) ____.

(4) ____.

Date of Interview: 3 January 2005
Time: ____

Type of Interview:

- ☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.
Rejection(s) discussed:

none

Claims discussed:

12, 14-20

Prior art documents discussed:

none

Part II.
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

It was agreed to make minor amendments to claim 12 in order to provide further clarification, and to cancel withdrawn claims 14-20 in order to place the application in condition for allowance. See Examiner's Amendment.

Part III.

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

 (Examiner/SPE Signature)

 (Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)